



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,474	02/23/2005	Klaas Kooyker	NL 020791	3289
24738 7590 02/01/2011 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS PO BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510-8001				
EXAMINER				
JENNISON, BRIAN W				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3742				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/01/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/525,474

Applicant(s)

KOOYKER ET AL.

Examiner

BRIAN JENNISON

Art Unit

3742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andoh et al (US 5,568,765) in view of Davis et al (US 5,544,567).

Andoh teaches:

Regarding Claim 1: Fig 1 shows a deep fat fryer 1, a frying pan 8, a heating element or magnetron 18 for heating the cooking oil in vessel 7. An oil temperature sensor 9, senses the temperature of the oil in oil vessel 7, the cooking medium. A controller is connected to sensor 9. **See Column 14 Line 50- Column 15 Line 5.** The controller and temperature sensor 9 monitor the temperature and send signal to turn the heater on if the temperature sensed is below a lower limit or turn the heater off if the temperature is above an upper limit. **See Column 21, Lines 50-65 and Fig 20.** Fig 12 shows the operation of the lowering and control system. The heating element is activated and a lowering signal based on T1 is send to activate the drive motor to lower the food. A second signal based on the steepness of a temperature rise over time S213 is sent for lowering food. *For generating a food lowering command signal commanding the lowering of food in response to the temperature signal from the temperature sensor circuit.* The first food lowering signal comes when the cover door is closed; this starts the process of lowering the food. Since a door is closed, the food is therefore loaded and ready to be immersed based on a signal when the temperature reaches a certain point. (The claim does not require the food to be immersed during this signal.) **See Column 6, Lines 25-55.** *Wherein the control system, while the heating element is*

active, is adapted for generating a first food lowering command signal for loading food in response to the temperature signal representing a first predetermined sensed temperature. Since the phrase adapted for is used the claim only requires the structure of the prior art being capable of performing the act of sending a first and second signal. The second lowering signal is in response to the temperature control mechanism, the lifting means lowers the food when a signal is received from the temperature controller and immerses the food into the oil. **See Column 6, Lines 25-55** Fig 19 shows the upper limit of the sensed temperature which would be reached if no food is present.

Andoh fails to disclose regarding claims 1 and 7, the human perceptible signal for food to be loaded. Davis discloses regarding claims 1 and 7, an alarm signal is generated to load the basket or food if it not present when the cook cycle is to begin. (See Column 1, Lines 45-55) It would have been obvious to adapt Andoh in view of Davis to provide the alarm for signaling a human that the cooking basket or food is not in place when the cooking cycle is to begin.

Regarding Claim 2: A lowering signal is generated based on a first temperature signal at S205.

Regarding Claims 3-6: A boost condition or enhanced heating power at step S210 may be set by a user through controller 20. The controller 20 is also used for setting an

upper temperature and for ending the enhanced heating condition. **See S210 in Fig 12 and Column 22, lines 30-45.**

Regarding Claim 8: A lifting mechanism 16 is included to lift and lower the oil vessel. Microswitch 14 generates a signal which is sent to the control panel 20 which generates a human perceptible signal for the position of the oil vessel 7.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument on pages 2-4 of the reply regarding the lowering signal based on the steepness of the temperature over time, Fig 9, shows the rise in temperature over time, Fig 11 shows at step S105 that the temperature is monitored and the drive motor is activated to lower the food into the basket. This must be based on a certain steepness of the temperature rise since the heating element is turned on and off at certain time periods in response to how fast the oil is being heated as can be seen in Fig 9. As to the last part of claim 1, if no food is present, the controller would still operate in the same manner if not food is present, the lowering signal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN JENNISON whose telephone number is (571)270-5930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TU HOANG can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN JENNISON/
Examiner, Art Unit 3742

1/25/2011

/Mark H Paschall/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742